

### Des Plaines River Watershed Workgroup NARP Workplan

February 20, 2020









- Introduction
- DRWW NARP Objectives
- Existing Data Review
- Modeling Tools for NARP Development
- Recommended NARP approach

# Introduction





- <u>Nutrient Assessment Reduction Plan Dec 31, 2023</u>
- 2018 Agreement between Illinois Association of Wastewater Agencies (IAWA), Illinois Environmental Protection Agency (IEPA) and Environmental Groups
- Special conditions in NPDES permits to address the P-related impairments
  - Dissolved Oxygen
  - Nuisance Algae
- Tangentially also a requirement in MS4 permit to meet TMDL (or alternative) requirements
- Flexibility to develop watershed-specific targets



Lower Des Plaines River. Photo by Cynthia Skrukrud.

#### Permit Requirements

#### • If NARP exists

- Effluent limits based on the NARP and any applicable data

#### If no NARP

- Effluent requirements based on Nutrient
  Science Advisory Nutrient Science Advisory
  Committee
- Discharge cannot "cause or contribute to" violations of dissolved oxygen or narrative water quality standards





#### When is a NARP Required?

Based on Instream Sampling Collected by IEPA





#### PHOSPHORUS RELATED IMPAIRMENT

Listed on 303(d) list for:

- Dissolved oxygen
- Offensive condition (algae and/or aquatic plant growth)

#### **RISK OF EUTROPHICATION**

Information that plant, algal, or cyanobacterial growth is causing or will cause violations of water quality standards

- pH
- Dissolved oxygen
- Chlorophyll-a

#### Impaired Reaches

• Impaired Reaches

Segment	Miles	Impairment
IL G-25	6.9	Arsenic, Mercury, <mark>Oxygen, Dissolved</mark> , Sedimentation/Siltation, Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
 IL_G-35	5.0	Cause Unknown, Mercury, <mark>Phosphorus (Total)</mark> , Polychlorinated biphenyls
 ILG-36	7.2	Fecal Coliform, Mercury, Phosphorus (Total), Polychlorinated biphenyls
IL_G-07	10.8	Arsenic, Chloride, Fecal Coliform, Mercury, <mark>Phosphorus (Total)</mark> , Polychlorinated biphenyls
 IL_G-08	1.0	Fecal Coliform, Mercury, <mark>Oxygen, Dissolved</mark> , Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
IL_G-26	6.0	Cause Unknown, Mercury, Polychlorinated biphenyls
IL_GWA	5.5	Arsenic, Manganese <mark>, Phosphorus (Total)</mark> , Sedimentation/Siltation
IL_GST	10.7	Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
IL_GW-02	13.0	<mark>Oxygen, Dissolved</mark> , pH
IL_GU-02	11.3	Oxygen, Dissolved
IL GWAA	4.0	Arsenic, Phosphorus (Total), Sedimentation/Siltation
_	1	



NARP related impairment

Based on IEPA data only\*

#### NARP Development Process



Nutrient Science Advisory Committee or site-specific watershed targets

Establish water quality targets

Determine phosphorus input reductions to address impairments Establish time line of required phosphorus input reductions

**Develop NARP Objectives** 

Join/Establish a Watershed Group



Graphic based on IEPA NPDES Permitting Language

**GEOSYNTEC CONSULTANTS** 

# **DRWW NARP Objectives**



### DRWW Objectives

۹۷ کی کی

Based on DRWW NARP Subcommittee Direction

NARP Objective IPS Tool Objective

- 1) Establish watershed-specific water quality targets
- 2) Determine phosphorus reductions needed to achieve site-specific water quality targets or if targets are infeasible
- 3) Identify mechanisms to facilitate cost-effective implementation of the NARP, including assessing feasibility of BMP implementation, prioritization, and costs; trading; and funding
- 4) Assess the other measures needed to address aquatic life impairments
- 5) Identify specific achievable projects to address water quality and aquatic life impairments and establish timeline for implementation



\*Some streams

both sestonic and

might require

benthic

chlorophyll

#### GEOSYNTEC CONSULTANTS

### Potential DRWW NARP Objective 1

#### Establish watershed-specific targets for water quality

- **Dissolved oxygen**
- Chlorophyll-a (Algae)
- Total phosphorus (dissolved reactive phosphorus)
- Possibly nitrogen

#### **Non Wadeable Streams**

Sestonic chlorophyll-a\*





**Benthic chlorophyll-a** 





#### Determine phosphorus reductions needed to achieve site-specific water quality targets or if targets are infeasible

- Point sources
  - Wastewater treatment plants
  - Pesticide application
  - Industrial



Copyright © 2005 Pearson Prentice Hall, Inc.

- Nonpoint sources
  - Small Municipal Separate Storm Sewer Systems (MS4s)
  - Agriculture

Identify mechanisms to facilitate cost-effective NARP implementation:

- Assess feasibility of BMPs, prioritization, and costs
- Point-to-point trading program
- Point-to-nonpoint trading program
- Funding plan





# **Existing Data Review**



#### Data Sources for NARP Development



#### Temporal Coverage











### Data Review Summary and Recommendations



- Good spatial coverage of instream water quality discrete and continuous stations for model calibration and understanding of the receiving water system
- Continue to collect additional data for 2019 and 2020
  - Cross-section data for mainstem Des Plaines River
  - Strategic data collection in 2020 for NARP models
  - Sites in Bull Creek watershed can be removed from additional monitoring since it is not listed as impaired

- Recommend installing a continuous monitoring water quality gauge at the upstream boundary
  - Tie in with the existing USGS Gauge 05527800 Des Plaines River at Russell, IL

# Modeling Tools for NARP Development

Cannot collect data everywhere, all the time – modeling is therefore needed to fill in spatial and temporal gaps



consultants





• Mathematical approximation of reality

$$\frac{dC}{dt} = r(C_m - C) - K \cdot BOD(t)$$
 Dissolved Oxygen Model

- Based on laboratory or field data and best professional judgement
- Wide range in levels of complexity
  - Simple spreadsheet
  - Computer programs

### Why do we need models for NARP ?

- Fill in spatial and temporal data gaps
- Simulate and better understand the linkage between phosphorus and dissolved oxygen/nuisance algae
- Evaluate watershed management scenarios' effectiveness in reducing impairments
  - Nutrient load reductions
- Help with policy or management decisions
  - Priority projects
  - Ordinances



- Support NPDES permitting and water quality trading
  Image: Chicago Tribune
- If needed, explain why (or why not) something unexpected occurred

#### Types of Model



#### Watershed Model

- Calculate flows and loads to the mainstream of river and key tributaries
- Provide a tool for testing and prioritizing management scenarios (nutrient load reductions)
- Instream Model
  - Simulates instream hydraulics and water quality
  - Relative influences of loadings, hydrology, and other inputs parameters on the algal and dissolved oxygen levels



Image Courtesy : Lake County



**GEOSYNTEC CONSULTANTS** 

### Model Evaluation Process



- Reviewed model selection guidance from
  - US Environmental Protection Agency
  - Water Environmental Research Foundation (WERF)
- Experience and lessons learned from previous projects models
  - DuPage River Salt Creek Workgroup
  - Fox River Watershed Group
  - Mill Creek Watershed Support
  - Peer review of Illinois River (OK/AR) watershed TMDL models

### Watershed Model Options

- Developed model selection criteria to meet NARP objectives
- Refined evaluation
- Preliminary Recommendation – HSPF
- Added SWAT based on Lake County SMC recommendation

Model	SWA T	HSPF	WARM F	AGWA
Spatial Component	•	•	$\Theta$	$\Theta$
Sub Daily Timestep	•	•	$\Theta$	$\Theta$
Instream Water Quality	<b></b>	•	igodol	igodot
Sediments	$\Theta$	•	$\Theta$	$\Theta$
Nutrient Output by Land Use	•	•	$\Theta$	$igodoldsymbol{\Theta}$
Auto-calibration	•	•	0	0
User Support/Training	•	e	•	•
GIS Interface	•	•	•	•
Software Updates	•	$\Theta$	0	0
Model Score	25	25	18	18

GEOSYNTEC CONSULTANTS

### Instream Water Quality Models Options

- Model choices for criteria specified
  - CE-QUAL-ICM

- EFDC

- CE-QUAL-W2
- ECOMSED

- WASP7
- QUAL2kw
- Used WERF's Nutrient Modeling Toolbox (NMT) to select instream model
- Preliminary recommendation: QUAL2kw
  - Dynamic version of QUAL2K which is typically applied for similar applications
  - QUAL2kw is being used the FRSG\* and DRSCW\*\*
  - Level of effort required to setup is less as compared to other models

\* Transitioned from steady-state to time variable \*\*Uses a steady state version of QUAL2kw GEOSYNTEC CONSULTANTS

# **Recommended NARP Approach**



consultants

#### Recommended Steps for DRWW NARP



### Estimated Cost – \$559,900



Phase	Description	2020	2021	2022
1	Conduct data monitoring and analysis	\$265,800		
2	Develop Modeling Tools	\$100,800	\$75,400	
3	Watershed Management Scenarios		\$39,700	
4	Implementation Plan and Schedule			\$77,800
Total Budget Estimate for Year*		\$366,600	\$115,100	\$77,800
Planned Budget		\$160,000		
Additional Budget required for NARP		\$206,600	\$115,100	\$77,800





### **Project Schedule**



#### NARP Workplan Report

#### **Possible NARP Outcomes**



### Point source reductions in P not needed Point source reductions in NARP P needed Both point and nonpoint source reductions in P needed Other or additional measures needed **GEOSYNTEC CONSULTANTS**

# Questions

